PHY 302 SYMPOSIUM PRESENTATION AND ORAL DEFENSE RUBRIC

Presenter Name: _			
Project Title:			
Peer Evaluator Na	me:		

Dimension	Good presentation: Fair presentation: Poor presentation				
	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1-0 points)	Score	
Introduction	Presenter started with a brief overview the four following items: 1) the purpose of the lab, 2) summary of the procedures followed, 3) what degree of accuracy was achieved in work, and 4) what the possible sources of error were.	Presenter gave a mostly complete but somewhat unclear or disorganized overview statement.	Presenter provided no overview statement, or the statement was so short as to be meaningless.		
Apparatus	Presenter described all laboratory equipment used in the investigation, along with a detailed diagram to illustrate the configuration of the equipment.	Presenter did only a fair job of describing the equipment used in the investigation but did not include a detailed diagram to illustrate the configuration of the equipment.	Did very inadequate job of or does not describe apparatus used in capstone project and/or did not provide a detailed program to illustrate the configuration of the equipment.		
Procedure	Presenter included a clear step-by- step description of the procedure used to conduct the experiment identifying and naming all pertinent experimental variables and briefly described how the independent and extraneous variables were manipulated or controlled.	Presenter included a somewhat "hazy" description of the procedure used to conduct the experiment identifying and naming all pertinent experimental variables and only vaguely describe how the independent and extraneous variables were manipulated or controlled.	Presenter included a very unclear or omitted description of the procedure used to conduct the experiment and failed to identify and/or name all pertinent experimental variables; failed to describe how the independent and extraneous variables were manipulated or controlled.		
Data	Presentation included data tables that were neat and orderly. Data consisted of as many trials and as wide a range as judgment would indicate necessary. The units for physical measurements (kg, cm, s, etc.) in a data table were specified in column heading only.	Presentation included data tables that were neat and orderly but some what incomplete in that they do not include some of the required information: data consist of as many trials and as wide a range as judgment would indicate necessary; the units for physical measurements (kg, cm, s, etc.) in a data table are not specified.	Data only alluded to, not presented, or are presented in such a way as to be hopelessly useless. Contains multiple errors in presentation such as: data consist of as inadequate trials and to narrow range as judgment would indicate necessary; the units in a data table are not specified.		
Analysis of Data	The analysis of data was a coherent and well-ordered presentation of sample calculations made as part of the experiment; showed all employed equations as part of sample calculations and identified all pertinent variables.	The analysis of data was somewhat incoherent presentation of sample data made as part of the experiment; showed all employed equations as part of sample calculations and identified all variables.	No, inappropriate, or meaningless data analysis conducted. Did not include employed equations as part of sample calculations and failed to identify pertinent variables.		
Graphs	If graphs are utilized, they were prepared using a graphing program; care was taken to scale the axes appropriately; labeled each graph with a title; labeled and gave units on each of the graph's axes [e.g., velocity (meters/second)]. The appropriate smooth curve was drawn representing the function graphed. Data were not connected with a series of straight lines.	If graphs are utilized, they were in the main prepared correctly and presented appropriately. Minor deficiencies such as care not taken to scale the axes appropriately; graph not labeled with a title; axes not labeled appropriately [e.g., velocity (meters/second)]. The appropriate smooth curve not drawn representing the function graphed; data are connected with a series of straight lines.	Graphs were poorly prepared or not prepared and included at all as judgment would suggest. Graphs were inappropriately used to present meaningful information; graphs include inappropriate or meaningless information.		

Interpretation	If the graph of data is a straight	If the graph of data is a straight	Did inadequate or	
Interpretation of Graphs	line, then presenter determined the	line, then presenter determined the	unacceptable job of	
oi Grapns	slope and intercept, and included	slope and intercept but did not	interpreting graphical data.	
	the approximate equation on the	include on graph; the graph was not	Failed to present and explain	
	graph. If the graph was not a	a straight line, but the presenter	slopes and intercepts as	
	straight line, then the presenter	made no attempt to linearize the	appropriate.	
	made an attempt to linearize the	data and interpret the meaning of	11 1	
	data; explained the meaning of	the slope and intercept. Failed to		
	slopes and intercepts.	explain slopes and intercepts.		
Accuracy	Presenter's work reflected the fact	Presenter's work did not reflect the	Presenter's work reflected a	
V	that care was taken in all	fact that care was consistently	lack of care in taking all	
	measurement processes including	taken in all measurement processes	measurement, including a	
	repeated measures and appropriate	including repeated measures and	failure to make repeated	
	interpretations thereof.	appropriate interpretations thereof.	measures and conduct	
			appropriate interpretations	
T	D 1 1 1 1 1 1	D 1 1 1 1 1 1	thereof.	
Error Analysis	Presenter demonstrated that	Presenter demonstrated that	Presenter demonstrated	
	serious efforts were made at	moderate but not complete efforts	limited effort or no effort at all to made conduct an error	
	conducting error analysis. Errors	were made at conducting error analysis. Errors in data were	analysis. Errors in data were	
	in data were propagated into error in the result using appropriate	propagated into error in the result	not propagated into error in	
	strategies. Appropriate statistics	using appropriate strategies.	the result using appropriate	
	were used to compare theoretical	Appropriate statistics were used to	strategies. Statistics were not	
	and experimental data.	compare theoretical and	used to compare theoretical	
		experimental data; errors made.	and experimental data.	
Findings	Presenter made significant	Presenter has made few significant	Presenter has made no	
rinuliigs	findings that are evidence-base,	findings or findings are	significant findings and has	
	accurate, and clearly expressed.	inconclusive.	not met objectives.	
Professionalism	If a result departs markedly from	If a result departs markedly from	If a result departs markedly	
	the anticipated result (as indicated	the anticipated result (as indicated	from the anticipated result (as	
	by a large margin of error),	by a large margin of error),	indicated by a large margin of	
	presenter showed and explained	presenter explained how additional	error), presenter did not	
	follow-up sets of measurements	measurements can made to isolate	indicate that any efforts were	
	made to isolate and eliminate the	and eliminate the source(s) of error.	made to isolate and eliminate	
	source(s) of error.		the source(s) of error.	
Organization	The presentation was well -	The presentation was somewhat	The presentation was	
	organized, moving from general	disorganized and provided too	disorganized and dealt	
	topics to specific details; provided	much detail without giving a good	completely with details	
	a good explanation of the work.	explanation of the work.	without providing a broad	
	D 1 00 1: 0	D	explanation of the work.	
Presentation	Presenter made effective use of	Presenter made improper use of	Presenter made no use of	
Technology	technology to present findings;	technology to present work and	technology to present work	
	contains visual aides that helped audience understand work; visuals	findings; contained few or	and findings; contained no visual aids or visual aids were	
	,	inadequate visual aids or visual aids have a neat and professional		
	had a neat and professional look, easily understood; used well to	appearance, but poorly used in	so poorly constructed as to be worthless.	
	make points.	making points.	woraness.	
Speaking Skills	Presenter used presentation	Presenter relied heavily on	Presenter essentially read the	
Speaking Skins	resources as a guide, gave detailed	presentation to make report;	material from a presentation	
	explanations, was easily	somewhat comfortable with the	to make the report; clearly	
	understandable, and kept eye	topic.	uncomfortable with the topic.	
	contact with the audience.			
Questions and	Presenter answered questions	Presenter answered only some of	Presenter answered none of	
Answers	clearly and accurately, showing a	the questions well, showing an	the answer questions well.	
1 1113 W C I 3	thorough understanding of the	adequate understanding of the	·	
	research project.	research project.		
Overall	Peer evaluator feels that presenter	Peer evaluator feels that presenter	Peer evaluator feels that	
Impression	did a good job of researching the	did a marginal job of researching	presenter did an inadequate to	
impi ession	given capstone problem.	the given capstone problem.	unacceptable job of	
			researching the given	
			capstone problem.	
	ТОТ	AL POINTS:		
	101	- ~ · - ~ ·		